

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION**

**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION  
OPIATE LITIGATION**

**This document relates to:**

*The County of Summit, Ohio, et al., v. Purdue  
Pharma L.P., et al.*, Case No. 18-OP-45090  
(N.D. Ohio).

**MDL No. 2804**

**Case No. 1:17-md-2804**

**Judge Dan Aaron Polster**

**ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS’  
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE THIRD  
AMENDED COMPLAINT UNDER SEAL**

Plaintiffs have filed a Motion for Leave to File Third Amended Complaint Under Seal in the following case: *The County of Summit, Ohio, et al., v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.*, Case No. 18-OP-45090 (N.D. Ohio).

The Motion states that filing said Third Amended Complaint under seal is necessary to ensure compliance with the following Orders:

1. Protective Order Re: DEA’s ARCOS/DADS Database, ECF Doc. 167 (hereinafter “ARCOS Protective Order”); and
2. Amended Agreed Confidentiality Order, filed in *City of Chicago v. Purdue Pharma L.P.*, Case No. 14-CV-04361, ECF Doc. 487 (N.D. Ill.) (hereinafter “City of Chicago Confidentiality Order”).

The Motion states that said Third Amended Complaint will contain information that is subject to Protective and Confidentiality Orders. Specifically, the Third Amended Complaint will contain:

1. Information designated CONFIDENTIAL – SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER by the ARCOS Protective Order; and
2. Excerpts from documents produced in *The City of Chicago, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P.*, Case No. 14-CV-04361 (N.D. Ill.), which are subject to the City of

Chicago Confidentiality Order, which binds Plaintiffs in MDL 2804 pursuant to CMO One.

Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Third Amended Complaint Under Seal is hereby **GRANTED.**

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

---

DAN AARON POLSTER  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: March \_\_, 2019